Appendix E Wildland Fire Risk and Complexity Assessment

The Wildland Fire Risk and Complexity Assessment should be used to evaluate firefighter safety issues, assess risk, and identify the appropriate incident management organization. Determining incident complexity is a subjective process based on examining a combination of indicators or factors. An incident's complexity can change over time; incident managers should periodically re-evaluate incident complexity to ensure that the incident is managed properly with the right resources.

Instructions

Incident Commanders should complete Part A and Part B and relay this information to the Agency Administrator. If the fire exceeds initial attack or will be managed to accomplish resource management objectives, Incident Commanders should also complete Part C and provide the information to the Agency Administrator.

Part A: Firefighter Safety Assessment

Evaluate the following items, mitigate as necessary, and note any concerns, mitigations, or other information.

Evaluate these items	Concerns/Mitigations/Notes
LCES	
Fire Orders and Watch Out Situations	
Multiple operational periods have occurred without achieving initial objectives.	
Incident personnel are overextended mentally and/or physically and are affected by cumulative fatigue.	
Communication is ineffective with tactical resources and/or dispatch.	
Operations are at the limit of span of control.	
Aviation operations are complex and/or aviation oversight is lacking.	
Logistical support for the incident is inadequate or difficult.	

Release Date: January 2017 APPENDIX E-1

Part B: Relative Risk Assessment

Walues B1. Infrastructure/Natural/Cultural Concerns Based on the number and kinds of values to be protected, and the difficulty to protect them, rank this element low, moderate, or high. Considerations: key resources potentially affected by the fire such as urban interface, structures, critical municipal watershed, commercial timber, developments, recreational facilities, power/pipelines, communication sites, highways, potential for evacuation, unique natural resources, designated areas (i.e., wilderness), T&E species habitat, and cultural sites. B2. Proximity and Threat of Fire to Values Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B3. Social/Economic Concerns
Based on the number and kinds of values to be protected, and the difficulty to protect them, rank this element low, moderate, or high. Considerations: key resources potentially affected by the fire such as urban interface, structures, critical municipal watershed, commercial timber, developments, recreational facilities, power/pipelines, communication sites, highways, potential for evacuation, unique natural resources, designated areas (i.e., wilderness), T&E species habitat, and cultural sites. B2. Proximity and Threat of Fire to Values Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B3. Social/Economic Concerns
protected, and the difficulty to protect them, rank this element low, moderate, or high. Considerations: key resources potentially affected by the fire such as urban interface, structures, critical municipal watershed, commercial timber, developments, recreational facilities, power/pipelines, communication sites, highways, potential for evacuation, unique natural resources, designated areas (i.e., wilderness), T&E species habitat, and cultural sites. B2. Proximity and Threat of Fire to Values Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B3. Social/Economic Concerns
rank this element low, moderate, or high. Considerations: key resources potentially affected by the fire such as urban interface, structures, critical municipal watershed, commercial timber, developments, recreational facilities, power/pipelines, communication sites, highways, potential for evacuation, unique natural resources, designated areas (i.e., wilderness), T&E species habitat, and cultural sites. B2. Proximity and Threat of Fire to Values Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B3. Social/Economic Concerns
Considerations: key resources potentially affected by the fire such as urban interface, structures, critical municipal watershed, commercial timber, developments, recreational facilities, power/pipelines, communication sites, highways, potential for evacuation, unique natural resources, designated areas (i.e., wilderness), T&E species habitat, and cultural sites. B2. Proximity and Threat of Fire to Values Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B3. Social/Economic Concerns
by the fire such as urban interface, structures, critical municipal watershed, commercial timber, developments, recreational facilities, power/pipelines, communication sites, highways, potential for evacuation, unique natural resources, designated areas (i.e., wilderness), T&E species habitat, and cultural sites. B2. Proximity and Threat of Fire to Values Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B3. Social/Economic Concerns
critical municipal watershed, commercial timber, developments, recreational facilities, power/pipelines, communication sites, highways, potential for evacuation, unique natural resources, designated areas (i.e., wilderness), T&E species habitat, and cultural sites. B2. Proximity and Threat of Fire to Values Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B3. Social/Economic Concerns
developments, recreational facilities, power/pipelines, communication sites, highways, potential for evacuation, unique natural resources, designated areas (i.e., wilderness), T&E species habitat, and cultural sites. B2. Proximity and Threat of Fire to Values Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B3. Social/Economic Concerns
power/pipelines, communication sites, highways, potential for evacuation, unique natural resources, designated areas (i.e., wilderness), T&E species habitat, and cultural sites. B2. Proximity and Threat of Fire to Values Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B3. Social/Economic Concerns
potential for evacuation, unique natural resources, designated areas (i.e., wilderness), T&E species habitat, and cultural sites. B2. Proximity and Threat of Fire to Values Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B3. Social/Economic Concerns
designated areas (i.e., wilderness), T&E species habitat, and cultural sites. B2. Proximity and Threat of Fire to Values Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B3. Social/Economic Concerns
habitat, and cultural sites. B2. Proximity and Threat of Fire to Values Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B3. Social/Economic Concerns
B2. Proximity and Threat of Fire to Values Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B3. Social/Economic Concerns
Evaluate the potential threat to values based on their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B.3.Social/Economic Concerns
their proximity to the fire, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. B.3. Social/Economic Concerns
element low, moderate, or high. B3.Social/Economic Concerns
B3.Social/Economic Concerns
Evaluate the potential impacts of the fire to L M H
social and/or economic concerns, and rank this
element low, moderate, or high.
Considerations: impacts to social or economic
concerns of an individual, business, community or
other stakeholder; degree of support for the
wildland fire program and resulting fire effects;
other fire management jurisdictions; tribal
subsistence or gathering of natural resources; air
quality regulatory requirements; public tolerance
of smoke, including health impacts; potential for
evacuation and ingress/egress routes; and
restrictions and/or closures in effect or being
considered.
Hazards Notes/Mitigation
B4. Fuel Conditions
Consider fuel conditions ahead of the fire and LMH
rank this element low, moderate, or high.
Evaluate fuel conditions that exhibit high ROS
and intensity for your area, such as those caused
by invasive species or insect/disease outbreaks;
and/or continuity of fuels.
B5. Fire Behavior
Evaluate the current and expected fire L M H
behavior and rank this element low, moderate,
or high.
Considerations: intensity; rates of spread;
crowning; profuse or long-range spotting.
B6. Potential Fire Growth
Evaluate the potential fire growth, and rank L M H
this element low, moderate, or high.
Considerations: Considerations would include
current and expected fire growth based on fire

APPENDIX E-2 Release Date: January 2017

Probability				Notes/Mitigation
B7. Time of Season Evaluate the potential for a long-duration fire and rank this element low, moderate, or high. Considerations: time remaining until a season ending event.	L Late	M Mid	H Early	
B8. Barriers to Fire Spread Evaluate the barriers to fire spread and their potential to limit fire growth, and rank this element low, moderate, or high. Considerations: If many natural and/or human-made barriers are present, rank this element low. If some barriers are present, rank this element moderate. If no barriers are present, rank this element high.	L Many	М	H Few	
B9. Seasonal Severity Evaluate fire danger indices and rank this element low/moderate, high, or very high/extreme. Considerations: Fire danger indices such as energy release component (ERC); drought status; live and dead fuel moistures; fire danger indices; adjective fire danger rating; geographic area preparedness level.	L/M	Н	VH/E	
Enter the number of items circled for each column.				

Relative Risk Rating (circle one):

Low	Majority of items are "Low" with a few items rated as "Moderate" and/or "High."
Moderate	Majority of items are "Moderate" with a few items rated as "Low" and/or "High."
High	Majority of items are "High." A few items may be rated as ""Low" or "Moderate."

Release Date: January 2017 APPENDIX E-3

Part C: Organization

Relative Risk Rating (from Part B)					
Circle the Relative Risk Rating (from Part B)		L	M	Н	
Implementation Difficulty					Notes/Mitigation
C1. Potential Fire Duration					
Evaluate the estimated length of time	N/A	L	M	Н	
that the fire may continue to burn if no	Very	Short	141	Long	
action is taken and amount of season	Short	Short		Long	
remaining. Rank this element low,	Short				
moderate, or high. Note: This will vary by					
geographic area.					
C2. Incident Strategies (Course of Action)					
Evaluate the level of firefighter and	Very	L	M	H	
aviation exposure required to	Low				
successfully meet the current strategy					
and implement the course of action.					
Rank this element as very low, low,					
moderate, or high. Consider the likelihood that those					
resources will be effective; exposure of					
firefighters; reliance on aircraft to					
accomplish objectives; and whether there					
are clearly defined trigger points.					
C3. Functional Concerns					
Evaluate the need to increase	Vowe	L	M	н	
organizational structure to adequately	Very Low	L	IVI	н	
and safely manage the incident, and	Low				
rank this element very low (minimal					
resources committed), low (adequate),					
moderate (some additional support					
needed), or high (current capability					
inadequate).					
Considerations: Incident management					
functions (logistics, finance, operations,					
information, planning, safety, and/or					
specialized personnel/equipment) are inadequate and needed; availability of					
resources; access to EMS support; heavy					
commitment of local resources to logistical					
support; ability of local businesses to					
sustain logistical support; substantial air					
operation which is not properly staffed;					
worked multiple operational periods					
without achieving initial objectives;					
incident personnel overextended mentally					
and/or physically; Incident Action Plans,					
briefings, etc. missing or incomplete;					
performance of firefighting resources					
affected by cumulative fatigue; and					
ineffective communications.					
			l		

APPENDIX E-4 Release Date: January 2017

Socio/Political Concerns					Notes/Mitigation
C4. Objective Concerns					
Evaluate the complexity of the incident	Very	L	М	н	
objectives and rank this element very	Low	_			
low, low, moderate, or high.					
Considerations: clarity; ability of current					
organization to accomplish; disagreement					
among cooperators; tactical/operational					
restrictions; complex objectives involving					
multiple focuses; objectives influenced by					
serious accidents or fatalities.					
C5. External Influences					
Evaluate the effect external influences	Very	L	M	Н	
will have on how the fire is managed and	Low				
rank this element very low, low,					
moderate, or high.					
Considerations: limited local resources					
available for initial attack; increasing					
media involvement, social/print/television					
media interest; controversial fire policy;					
threat to safety of visitors from fire and					
related operations; restrictions and/or					
closures in effect or being considered; pre-					
existing controversies/ relationships;					
smoke management problems; sensitive					
political concerns/interests.					
C6. Ownership Concerns Evaluate the effect					
	Very	L	M	H	
ownership/jurisdiction will have on how	Low				
the fire is managed and rank this element very low, low, moderate, or					
high.					
Considerations: disagreements over policy,					
responsibility, and/or management					
response; fire burning or threatening more					
than one jurisdiction; potential for unified					
command; different or conflicting					
management objectives; potential for					
claims (damages); disputes over					
suppression responsibility.					
Enter the number of items circled for					
each column.					
	Î.	L	-	1	

Release Date: January 2017 APPENDIX E-5

Part C: Organization (continued)

Recommended Organization (circle one):

Type 5	Majority of items rated as "Very Low"; a few items may be rated in other categories.
Type 4	Majority of items rated as "Low," with some items rated as "Very Low," and a few items rated as "Moderate" or "High."
Type 3	Majority of items rated as "Moderate," with a few items rated in other categories.
Type 2	Majority of items rated as "Moderate," with a few items rated as "High."
Type 1	Majority of items rated as "High"; a few items may be rated in other categories.

Rationale:

Use this section to document the incident management organization for the fire. If the incident management organization is different than the Wildland Fire Risk and Complexity Assessment recommends, document why an alternative organization was selected. Use the "Notes/Mitigation" column to address mitigation actions for a specific element, and include these mitigations in the rationale.

Name of Incident:	Unit(s):
Date/Time:	Signature of Preparer:

The RCA is also available at https://www.nwcg.gov/?q=publications/210.

APPENDIX E-6 Release Date: January 2017